

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,034	07/13/2001	Roland C. Kippenhan JR.	3903.12US01	2252
	7590 10/24/2003		EXAMI	NER
MERCHAN' P.O. BOX 290	Γ & GOULD PC <sup>)3</sup>		THORNTON, KRISANNE MARIE	
MINNEAPOL	LIS, MN 55402-0903		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
			DATE MAILED: 10/24/2003	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Q/
- 6.ph	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/905,034	KIPPENHAN, ROLAI	ND C.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Krisanne M. Thornton	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet t	with the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur.  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims			nerits is
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in	Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri- application from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))		age
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			oplication).
a) The translation of the foreign language p	rovisional application has	been received.	,
15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  Attachment(s)	sao priority under 33 U.S.(	2. 33 TEO BIID/OF TET.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	
S. Datent and Trademark Office.			

Application/Control Number: 09/905,034

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This claim is found to be vague and indefinite because it refers to "the device", however, it is unclear as to which claimed element equates to "the device". Clarification is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 11-21, 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Malchesky U.S. patent No. 5,928,948.

Malchesky clearly teaches the provision of a simulated endoscope formed by a length of tubing (column 4, lines 1-14). A biofilm or soil (column 3, lines 17-30) is applied to the tubing and can be accompanied by an indicator dye (column 3, lines 41-48), a cleaning process is performed on the simulated endoscope and then a

Application/Control Number: 09/905,034

Art Unit: 1744

spectroscopic assessment is made of the efficacy of that process (column 4, line 2-through column 5, line 31).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 9-10 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malchesky as applied above.

While Malchesky is silent as to the use of an indicator that binds a particular component or residual of the biofilm and placement of the indicator autonomously from the film, these are well known and expected sterilization indicators and placement techniques and it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use such techniques in conjunction with the simulated endoscope for their recognized efficacy.

### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1744

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne M. Thornton whose telephone number is 703-308-3914. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm. On or about, December 16, 2003, the Examiner's office will be relocating and she can then be reached at (571)272-1279.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 703-308-2920. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

KRISANNE THORNTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

October 20, 2003